**REMARKS** 

Claims 1-28 stand rejected. Claims 1-28 remain pending in the patent

application. Applicants respectfully request further examination and reconsideration in

view of the remarks set forth below. Applicants respectfully submit that the

amendments herein to the patent application do not add new matter to it.

35 U.S.C. §102 Rejections

Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being unpatentable over

Heile et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,321,369 (hereinafter Heile).

CLAIM 1

Applicants respectfully contend that Heile fails to teach or suggest subject matter

recited in amended independent Claim 1. For instance, amended Claim 1 recites in part

(emphasis added):

displaying a list of parameter identifiers and respective parameter values

associated therewith for use in a first electronic design project;

highlighting via an input device a parameter value of said respective

parameter values that are displayed, said parameter value corresponding to a

parameter identifier of said list;

in response to said highlighting via said input device said parameter value,

displaying a plurality of possible parameter values which can be chosen for said

parameter identifier;

Examiner: Do, Thuan V.

Art Unit: 2825

Appl. No.: 09/989,761 CYPR-CD01179M

13 of 23

Applicants respectfully assert that Heile does not teach or suggest the specific combination of elements as explicitly recited in amended Claim 1. For example, Heile fails to teach or suggest displaying a plurality of possible parameter values which can be chosen for the parameter identifier in response to the highlighting via the input device of the displayed parameter value in combination with the other elements recited in amended Claim 1. Since Heile does not teach or suggest at least one element recited in amended Claim 1, Applicants respectfully contend that Heile cannot anticipate amended Claim 1. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit amended Claim 1 is allowable over Heile.

# CLAIM 4

Applicants respectfully assert that Heile does not teach or suggest subject matter recited in amended dependent Claim 4. For instance, amended Claim 4 recites in part (emphasis added):

wherein said parameter identifier corresponds to a CPU clock speed or a sleep timer.

Applicants respectfully contend that Heile fails to teach or suggest that a parameter identifier corresponds to a sleep timer as specifically recited in amended Claim 4. For example, Heile is silent regarding a sleep timer. Since Heile does not teach or suggest at least one element recited in amended Claim 4, Applicants respectfully assert that Heile cannot anticipate amended Claim 4. As such, Applicants respectfully submit amended Claim 4 is allowable over Heile.

Examiner: Do. Thuan V.

Appl. No.: 09/989,761 CYPR-CD01179M Art Unit: 2825 14 of 23

CLAIM 5

Applicants respectfully contend that Heile fails to teach or suggest subject matter

recited in amended dependent Claim 5. For instance, amended Claim 5 recites in part

(emphasis added):

wherein said parameter identifier corresponds to a phase lock loop mode.

Applicants respectfully assert that Heile does not teach or suggest that a parameter

identifier corresponds to a phase lock loop mode as specifically recited in amended

Claim 5. For example, Heile is silent regarding a phase lock loop mode. Since Heile

fails to teach or suggest at least one element recited in amended Claim 5, Applicants

respectfully assert that Heile cannot anticipate amended Claim 5. Therefore, Applicants

respectfully submit amended Claim 5 is allowable over Heile.

CLAIM 6

Applicants respectfully assert that Heile does not teach or suggest subject matter

recited in amended dependent Claim 6. For instance, amended Claim 6 recites in part

(emphasis added):

wherein said parameter identifier corresponds to a clock divider ratio or analog

power.

Applicants respectfully contend that Heile fails to teach or suggest that a parameter

identifier corresponds to a clock divider ratio or analog power as explicitly recited in

Examiner: Do, Thuan V.

Art Unit: 2825

Appl. No.: 09/989.761

15 of 23

CYPR-CD01179M

amended Claim 6. For example, Heile is silent regarding a clock divider ratio and analog power. Since Heile does not teach or suggest at least one element recited in amended Claim 6, Applicants respectfully assert that Heile cannot anticipate amended Claim 6. As such, Applicants respectfully submit amended Claim 6 is allowable over Heile.

# CLAIM 7

Applicants respectfully contend that Heile does not teach or suggest subject matter recited in amended independent Claim 7. For instance, amended Claim 7 recites in part (emphasis added):

displaying a list of parameter identifiers and respective parameter values associated therewith for use in a first programmable microcontroller circuit;

highlighting a parameter value of said respective parameter values that are displayed via an input device, said parameter value corresponding to a parameter identifier of said list;

in response to said highlighting said parameter value, displaying a window comprising a plurality of possible parameter values which can be selected for said parameter identifier;

Applicants respectfully assert that Heile fails to teach or suggest the specific combination of elements as specifically recited in amended Claim 7. For example, Heile does not teach or suggest displaying a window including a plurality of possible parameter values which can be selected for the parameter identifier in response to the highlighting of the displayed parameter value via the input device in combination with

Examiner: Do, Thuan V.

Appl. No.: 09/989,761 Art Unit: 2825 CYPR-CD01179M 16 of 23

the other elements recited in amended Claim 7. Since Heile fails to teach or suggest at

least one element recited in amended Claim 7, Applicants respectfully contend that

Heile cannot anticipate amended Claim 7. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit

amended Claim 7 is allowable over Heile.

CLAIM 8

Applicants respectfully assert that Heile does not teach or suggest subject matter

recited in amended dependent Claim 8. For instance, amended Claim 8 recites in part

(emphasis added):

wherein the parameter identifier corresponds to a CPU clock speed or analog

power.

Applicants respectfully contend that Heile fails to teach or suggest that a parameter

identifier corresponds to analog power as explicitly recited in amended Claim 8. For

example, Heile is silent regarding analog power. Since Heile does not teach or suggest

at least one element recited in amended Claim 8, Applicants respectfully assert that

Heile cannot anticipate amended Claim 8. As such, Applicants respectfully submit

amended Claim 8 is allowable over Heile.

CLAIM 9

Applicants respectfully assert that Heile fails to teach or suggest subject matter

recited in amended dependent Claim 9. For instance, amended Claim 9 recites in part

(emphasis added):

wherein the parameter identifier corresponds to a phase lock loop mode.

Applicants respectfully contend that Heile does not teach or suggest that a parameter

identifier corresponds to a phase lock loop mode as specifically recited in amended

Claim 9. For example, Heile is silent regarding a phase lock loop mode. Since Heile

fails to teach or suggest at least one element recited in amended Claim 9, Applicants

respectfully assert that Heile cannot anticipate amended Claim 9. Therefore, Applicants

respectfully submit amended Claim 9 is allowable over Heile.

CLAIM 10

Applicants respectfully contend that Heile does not teach or suggest subject

matter recited in amended dependent Claim 10. For instance, amended Claim 10

recites in part (emphasis added):

wherein the parameter identifier corresponds to a sleep timer.

Applicants respectfully assert that Heile fails to teach or suggest that a parameter

identifier corresponds to a sleep timer as specifically recited in amended Claim 10. For

example, Heile is silent regarding a sleep timer. Since Heile does not teach or suggest

at least one element recited in amended Claim 10, Applicants respectfully contend that

Heile cannot anticipate amended Claim 10. As such, Applicants respectfully submit

amended Claim 10 is allowable over Heile.

Appl. No.: 09/989,761 CYPR-CD01179M CLAIM 11

Applicants respectfully assert that Heile fails to teach or suggest subject matter

recited in amended dependent Claim 11. For instance, amended Claim 11 recites in

part (emphasis added):

wherein the parameter identifier corresponds to a clock divider ratio.

Applicants respectfully contend that Heile does not teach or suggest that a parameter

identifier corresponds to a clock divider ratio as explicitly recited in amended Claim 11.

For example, Heile is silent regarding a clock divider ratio. Since Heile fails to teach or

suggest at least one element recited in amended Claim 11, Applicants respectfully

contend that Heile cannot anticipate amended Claim 11. Therefore, Applicants

respectfully submit amended Claim 11 is allowable over Heile.

CLAIM 12

Applicants respectfully contend that Heile does not teach or suggest subject

matter recited in amended independent Claim 12. For instance, amended Claim 12

recites in part (emphasis added):

a global resource menu configured to display a list of parameter identifiers

and respective parameter values associated therewith for use in a first design

project, and configured to display a plurality of possible parameter values which

can be chosen for a parameter identifier of said list in response to an input device

highlighting a parameter value of said respective parameter values that are

Examiner: Do, Thuan V.

Art Unit: 2825

Appl. No.: 09/989,761 CYPR-CD01179M displayed, and configured to allow one of the plurality of possible parameter values to be chosen as a current parameter value for said parameter identifier;

Applicants respectfully assert that Heile fails to teach or suggest the specific combination of elements as specifically recited in amended Claim 12. For example, Heile does not teach or suggest a global resource menu configured to display a plurality of possible parameter values which can be chosen for a parameter identifier of the list in response to an input device highlighting a parameter value that is displayed in combination with the other elements recited in amended Claim 12. Since Heile fails to teach or suggest at least one element recited in amended Claim 12, Applicants respectfully contend that Heile cannot anticipate amended Claim 12. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit amended Claim 12 is allowable over Heile.

#### CLAIMS 13, 14, 15 and 16

Applicants respectfully assert that the subject matter of amended Claims 13, 14, 15 and 16 are not anticipated by Heile based on rationale similar to that discussed above with reference to amended Claims 8, 9, 10 and 11. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit amended Claims 13, 14, 15 and 16 are allowable over Heile.

#### CLAIM 17

Applicants respectfully contend that Heile does not teach or suggest subject matter recited in amended independent Claim 17. For instance, amended Claim 17 recites in part (emphasis added):

Examiner: Do, Thuan V.

Art Unit: 2825 20 of 23

Appl. No.: 09/989,761 CYPR-CD01179M <u>displaying, in tabular form, a list of parameter names</u> and <u>respective</u>

<u>parameter values associated therewith</u> for use in a first programmable integrated circuit;

in response to a user highlighting a parameter value of said respective parameter values that are displayed, <u>displaying a window comprising a plurality</u> of possible values which can be selected for a parameter name of said list;

Applicants respectfully assert that Heile fails to teach or suggest the specific combination of elements as specifically recited in amended Claim 17. For example, Heile does not teach or suggest displaying a window including a plurality of possible values which can be selected for a parameter name in response to a user highlighting a displayed parameter value in combination with the other specific elements recited in amended Claim 17. Since Heile fails to teach or suggest at least one element recited in amended Claim 17, Applicants respectfully contend that Heile cannot anticipate amended Claim 17. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit amended Claim 17 is allowable over Heile.

### CLAIMS 18, 19, 20 and 21

Applicants respectfully assert that the subject matter of amended Claims 18, 19, 20 and 21 are not anticipated by Heile based on rationale similar to that discussed above with reference to amended Claims 8, 9, 10 and 11. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit amended Claims 18, 19, 20 and 21 are allowable over Heile.

Examiner: Do, Thuan V.

Art Unit: 2825 21 of 23 CYPR-CD01179M

Appl. No.: 09/989,761

CLAIM 23

Applicants respectfully contend that Heile does not teach or suggest subject

matter recited in amended independent Claim 23. For instance, amended Claim 23

recites in part (emphasis added):

displaying, in tabular form, a list of parameter identifiers and respective

parameter values associated therewith for use in a first programmable integrated

circuit;

in response to a user highlighting a parameter value of said respective

parameter values that are displayed, displaying a window comprising a plurality

of possible values which can be chosen for a parameter identifier of said list;

Applicants respectfully assert that Heile fails to teach or suggest the specific

combination of elements as specifically recited in amended Claim 23. For example,

Heile does not teach or suggest displaying a window including a plurality of possible

values which can be selected for a parameter identifier in response to a user

highlighting a displayed parameter value in combination with the other specific elements

recited in amended Claim 23. Since Heile fails to teach or suggest at least one element

recited in amended Claim 23, Applicants respectfully contend that Heile cannot

anticipate amended Claim 23. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit amended

Claim 23 is allowable over Heile.

CLAIMS 24, 25, 26 and 27

Applicants respectfully assert that the subject matter of amended Claims 24, 25,

26 and 27 are not anticipated by Heile based on rationale similar to that discussed

Examiner: Do, Thuan V.

Art Unit: 2825

Appl. No.: 09/989,761

22 of 23

CYPR-CD01179M

above with reference to amended Claims 8, 9, 10 and 11. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit amended Claims 24, 25, 26 and 27 are allowable over Heile.

## **CONCLUSION**

In light of the above listed remarks, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of rejected Claims 1-28.

Based on the reasoning presented above, Applicants respectfully assert that Claims 1-28 overcome the rejections of record and, therefore, Applicants respectfully solicit allowance of these Claims.

The Examiner is invited to contact Applicants' undersigned representative if the Examiner believes such action would expedite resolution of the present application.

Respectfully submitted,

WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP

Dated: Nov. 19, 2004

Thomas M. Catale

Registration No.: 46,434

mm

WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP Two North Market Street, Third Floor San Jose, CA 95113

Voice: (408) 938-9060 Facsimile: (408) 938-9069

Examiner: Do, Thuan V.

Art Unit: 2825

Appl. No.: 09/989,761 CYPR-CD01179M

23 of 23